

Referee:

Applicant:

Scale to be used in the evaluation 1-5: 1 = weak, 2 = satisfactory, 3 = good, 4 = very good, 5 = excellent. Use questions as a tool for evaluation to the appropriate extent.

Subject, research plan and studies

1. Applicability of the subject for the VALUE doctoral school

Grade (1-5): 0

How well does the subject fit the VALUE themes and research groups? Is the approach broad and multidisciplinary?

2. Applicability of the research environment in the VALUE doctoral school **Grade (1-5): 0**

Based on the application, what is the linkage of the research environment with the VALUE partners? Do the subject matters of the research environment fit the themes of the VALUE doctoral school?

3. Scientific quality and innovativeness of the research plan

Grade (1-5): 0

Is the research scientifically noteworthy? Does the research have firm scientific foundations? Does the research generate new knowledge, new methods, new technology? Is the research project ambitious?

4. Feasibility of the research plan

Grade (1-5): 0

Are the research plan (incl. schedule) and the aims and goals clear and realistic? Are the research methods, materials and data appropriate in order to meet the aims and goals of the research?

5. Significance of the research applications and results for the users

Grade (1-5): 0

Do the research results have practical importance? Will it be possible to find new applications based on the research results?

6. Internationality and networking,

Grade (1-5): 0

Is the subject internationally significant? Are the applicant's plans for internationalization adequate? How do you find the applicant's/ research environment's networking nationally and internationally?

7. Success in studies on the Master's degree level

Grade (1-5): 0

Have the studies been carried out with good/excellent success? How long since the Master's degree has been awarded to the applicant? What is the grade of the Master's thesis? Is the subject of the Master's thesis applicable for the themes of the VALUE doctoral school?

General evaluation

8. The most significant strengths and weaknesses of the project. Other observations and suggestions.

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

Observations and suggestions:

9. Overall grade (the grade is not a mean value of the previous planks)

Grade (1-5): 0

Date and signature: